UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,106	12/22/2006	Poul Richter Joergensen	P08929US00RFH	9797
881 STITES & HAI	7590 10/06/200 RBISON PLLC	EXAMINER		
	FAIRFAX STREET	LAGMAN, FREDERICK LYNDON		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurrence	10/580,106	JOERGENSEN, POUL RICHTER					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Frederick L. Lagman	3672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this o ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	- action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	yn from consideration						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-11</u> is/are rejected.	·						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 12-14</u> is/are objected to.							
<u> </u>	· <u> </u>						
are subject to resultation arians.	olootion roquiromont.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) tte					

Application/Control Number: 10/580,106 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cosman et al #5,116,654 in view of Matsumoto et al #5,201,988 or DE reference #31 51 523.

Cosman et al discloses a marking tape (10) comprising at least one passive resonant circuit having a selected resonance frequency (Col. 3 lines 63-65), each resonant circuit comprising an inductive coil and a capacitor whereby the inductive coils each having only a few windings, preferably one single winding, and having a sufficient size and dimensioning to be wireless(ly) detected when positioned in a hidden place such as an underground position (Col. 3, lines 66-68; Fig. 3).

Cosman et al does not disclose a given layer succession for the resonant circuit, being the following: the inductive coil being formed by a conductive material layer on one surface of a dielectric plastic film, and a capacitor being formed by a conductive layer on one side of the dielectric plastic film and an oppositely positioned conductive layer on the opposite side of the dielectric plastic film. This construction is one of the straightforward possible layer distributions of an LC circuit in the field of passive tags and markers. Matsumoto shows exactly this same layer distribution in a passive RF tag (See Fig. 4, Fig. 6, Fig. 17). It would have been obvious to provide the given layer

Application/Control Number: 10/580,106 Page 3

Art Unit: 3672

succession for the resonant circuit as shown by Matsumoto, since doing so facilitate construction of a marking tape.

Cosman et al also does not disclose where the coil is printed on the dielectric film, and the capacitor is also deposited on the same layer side. DE '523 or the application represent merely well known design options for the realisation of the necessary LC circuit.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4 and 12-14 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick L. Lagman whose telephone number is 571-272-7043. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,106 Page 4

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Frederick L. Lagman/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

FLL